

**COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING COMMISSION**

IN THE MATTER OF
DIMITRY GOIN

)
)

Case No. 2025-057

SUSPENSION ORDER

The Respondent Dimitry Goin has entered into a Disposition Agreement (“Agreement”), attached hereto and incorporated herein, under which he has agreed to the suspension of his certification as a law enforcement officer in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts for a period of not less than 30 days, beginning on the date of execution of the Agreement by the Massachusetts Peace Officer Standards and Training Commission (“Commission”), and certain conditions outlined in the Agreement. See M.G.L. c. 6E, §§ 3(a) and 10; M.G.L. c. 30A, §§ 10 and 13.

Failure of the Respondent to abide by the terms and conditions of the Agreement shall result in the Agreement becoming void and may result in the Commission initiating adjudicatory proceedings against the Respondent; and seeking discipline against the Respondent based on any ground supported by the evidence obtained in a preliminary inquiry, whether or not it was covered in the Agreement, up to and including the possible revocation of the Respondent’s certification and entry of his information into the National Decertification Index. The Respondent has waived all rights to contest, in this or any other administrative or judicial proceeding to which the Commission is or may be a party, the factual findings, conclusions of law, terms and conditions, and other provisions contained in the Agreement, as well as any Order of the Commission contemplated by the Agreement.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that, for the reasons stated in the Agreement:

- (a) The Agreement is approved;
- (b) The factual findings and conclusions of law set forth in the Agreement are hereby adopted;
- (c) The Respondent’s law enforcement certification is hereby suspended for a period of not less than 30 days, beginning on November 20, 2025, subject to the agreed-upon conditions in the Agreement;
- (d) The Respondent will be eligible for reinstatement of his certification only after he provides sufficient proof to the Commission of the restoration of his right to operate a motor vehicle in Massachusetts without an ignition interlock device restriction or any other restriction imposed as a result of the Operating Under the Influence of Alcohol disposition described in the Agreement, and of the Respondent’s fitness for continued duty as a law enforcement officer, in a manner satisfactory to the Commission, as outlined in the Agreement;
- (e) During the period of suspension, the Respondent shall not perform police duties or functions on behalf of any law enforcement agency and shall be ineligible for admission to police schools or academies. The Respondent shall not seek renewal of his law enforcement certification and shall not engage in any conduct otherwise prohibited by the Commission.

- (f) The Executive Director shall take the necessary steps to publish the Respondent's name and certification status in any publicly available lists and database published by the Commission.

By vote of the Commission on November 20, 2025.

Margaret R. Hinkle

Hon. Margaret R. Hinkle (Ret.)

Notice: Patrick Hanley, Esq., Respondent's Counsel
Amy C. Parker, Esq., Commission Enforcement Counsel
Division of Police Standards
Shaun Martinez, Esq., Deputy Director, Division of Police Standards
Bentley University Police Department, Law Enforcement Agency
Collective Bargaining Unit
Middlesex County District Attorney's Office

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING COMMISSION

	)	
	)	) Commission Adjudicatory
IN THE MATTER OF)		
Case No. 2025-057	)	
DIMITRY GOIN)		
MPTC ID: 3963-6427)		
	)	
	)	

DISPOSITION AGREEMENT

In the interest of resolving the above-captioned matter and consistent with the public interest and laws and regulations governing the Massachusetts Peace Officer Standards and Training Commission ("Commission"), including M.G.L. c. 6E, §§ 3(a), 8, and 10, and 555 C.M.R. §§ 1.01-1.10, the Respondent, Dimitry Goin, and the Commission hereby enter into this Disposition Agreement ("Agreement"):

Factual Findings

1. The Respondent was employed as a police officer by the Bentley University Police Department ("BUPD") from approximately January 17, 2023, to January 29, 2025.

2. On May 10, 2023, the Respondent was certified as a law enforcement officer in Massachusetts pursuant to St. 2020, c. 253, § 102, an Act Relative to Justice, Equity and Accountability in Law Enforcement in the Commonwealth, and his certification remains active as of the date of this Agreement.

3. On January 25, 2025, the Respondent was arrested while driving in Putnam, Connecticut, and charged with Operating Under the Influence of Alcohol ("OUI"), a misdemeanor in Connecticut, and Failure to Drive Upon Right, an infraction in Connecticut. Preceding the related motor vehicle stop, local police noted the Respondent's vehicle's high rate of speed compared to the posted limit and his vehicle's abrupt corrections after crossing into the oncoming lane of travel. Upon interacting with local police during the stop, the Respondent disclosed himself as a police officer and claimed to be looking for an individual as part of his law enforcement duties at a local bar prior to the stop. During an investigative interview with members of the Commission's Division of Police Standards, Goin acknowledged that he was not performing any law enforcement duties on this night, could not remember that he made this statement, and was embarrassed by it. Also during the stop, the Respondent admitted to consuming alcoholic beverages at a bar in the preceding hours. While interacting with police, the

Respondent exhibited the following signs of intoxication: slurred, slow speech with an apparent inability to respond appropriately to roadside questioning, and an unsteady gait, along with poor performance on standardized field sobriety tests, indicating impairment. At the police station, the Respondent took a chemical breath test and registered a 0.16% blood alcohol content.

4. As a result of the breath test reading and charges described in paragraph 3 above, the Respondent's license to operate a motor vehicle in Massachusetts is currently suspended.

5. On January 27, 2025, BUPD placed the Respondent on administrative leave and initiated an internal affairs investigation into the January 25, 2025, incident. On January 29, 2025, while the investigation was still pending, the Respondent resigned from BUPD, and on the same date, BUPD sustained violations for criminal conduct, conduct unbecoming, and incompetence related to misconduct described in paragraph 3 above.

6. On April 29, 2025, the Respondent received a diversion disposition in the Connecticut criminal case resulting from the incident described in paragraph 3, which disposition included conditions such as an alcohol education program, a victim impact panel, suspension of the Respondent's right to operate a motor vehicle in Connecticut, and the imposition of an ignition interlock device ("IID") in his motor vehicle after the suspension ended. This case is scheduled for dismissal on April 28, 2026, upon the successful completion of the Respondent's diversion conditions.

7. The Respondent has [REDACTED]. On March 18, 2018, before becoming a law enforcement officer, in Worcester, Massachusetts, the Respondent was [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]. The Respondent revealed this prior matter during the course of the certification process, and was certified.

8. On March 20, 2025, the Commission, pursuant to M.G.L. c. 6E, §§ 8(c)(1)(ii) and (2) and 555 CMR 1.02(2), (3)(b) and (4), authorized the Division to conduct a preliminary inquiry into the Respondent's alleged criminal conduct.

9. On September 10, 2025, the Division submitted its report of preliminary inquiry to the Commission. Subsequently, on September 25, 2025, the Commission voted to initiate disciplinary proceedings against the Respondent.

Conclusions of Law

10. Pursuant to M.G.L. c. 6E, § 3(a):

The [C]ommission shall have all powers necessary or convenient to carry out and effectuate its purposes, including, but not limited to, the power to:

- (1) act as the primary civil enforcement agency for violations of [chapter 6E]; . . . (4) deny an application or limit, condition, restrict, revoke or suspend a certification, or fine a person certified for any cause that the commission deems reasonable; . . . (23) restrict, suspend or revoke certifications issued under [chapter 6E];
- (24) conduct adjudicatory proceedings in accordance with chapter 30A; . . .

11. Pursuant to M.G.L. c. 6E, § 10(b)(iii), “[t]he [C]ommission may [...] suspend or revoke an officer’s certification if the [C]ommission finds by clear and convincing evidence that [...] has a pattern of unprofessional police conduct that [the] [C]ommission believes may escalate.”

12. Pursuant to M.G.L. c. 6E, § 10(h), the Commission may institute a disciplinary hearing after an officer’s appointing agency has issued a final disposition on the alleged misconduct.

13. “Unless otherwise provided by law, agencies may . . . make informal disposition of any adjudicatory proceeding by stipulation, agreed settlement, consent order or default.” M.G.L. c. 30A, § 10.

14. The Respondent’s conduct described in paragraphs 3 through 7 above constitutes a pattern of unprofessional police conduct that may escalate, pursuant to § 10(b)(iii).

Resolution

In view of the foregoing violation of M.G.L. c. 6E, § 10(b)(iii), the Commission has determined that the public interest would best be served by the disposition of this matter without further enforcement proceedings, on the basis of the following terms and conditions which have been agreed to by the Respondent:

The Respondent acknowledges that, once this Agreement is executed, the Commission will issue a Suspension Order adopting all of the factual findings and conclusions of law set forth in this Agreement.

15. The Respondent hereby agrees to the suspension of his law enforcement officer certification in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, pursuant to M.G.L. c. 6E, §§ 3(a) and 10(b)(iii), for not less than thirty (30) days, beginning on the date this Agreement is approved by the Commission. He will be eligible for reinstatement of his certification only after providing sufficient proof to the Commission of:

a. the restoration of his right to operate a motor vehicle in Massachusetts without an IID restriction or any other restriction imposed as a result of the OUI disposition described herein; and

3

b. proof of the Respondent's fitness for continued duty as a law enforcement officer, in a manner satisfactory to the Commission. Said proof may consist of a letter to the Commission from a medical professional qualified in the treatment of alcohol use disorder, which is dated within fourteen (14) days of the date on which the Respondent applies for reinstatement or recertification, and which includes the opinion that, after reviewing this Agreement and evaluating the Respondent in person, the Respondent is fit to serve as a law enforcement officer in Massachusetts.

16. The Respondent agrees that, during the period of suspension, he:

- a. shall not perform police duties or functions on behalf of any law enforcement agency;
- b. shall be ineligible for admission to police schools or academies;
- c. shall not seek renewal of his law enforcement certification; and
- d. shall not engage in any conduct otherwise prohibited by the Commission.

17. The Respondent agrees that, if he should fail to abide by any of the terms and conditions of this Agreement during his suspension, this Agreement shall become void, and the Division may, without prior notice to the Respondent, take the following steps:

- a. initiate adjudicatory proceedings against the Respondent;
- b. seek discipline against the Respondent based on any ground supported by the evidence in its preliminary inquiry, including grounds beyond those covered by this Agreement; and
- c. seek any level of discipline supported by the evidence, up to and including the revocation of the Respondent's certification and the entry of his information onto the National Decertification Index.

18. The Respondent waives all rights to contest the factual findings, conclusions of law, terms and conditions, or other provisions contained in this Agreement, as well as any Order of the Commission contemplated by this Agreement, in any administrative or judicial forum to which the Commission is or may be a party.

19. The Respondent acknowledges that, once this Agreement is executed, the Commission will issue an Order of Suspension, and said Order will adopt the factual findings and the conclusions of law set forth in this Agreement. Both this Agreement and the Order of Suspension will be public documents and will be published on the Commission's website

4

pursuant to M.G.L. c. 6E, § 10(g). Furthermore, the status of the Respondent's certification will be publicly available on certain lists and databases published by the Commission.

20. This Agreement shall be effective as of the date it is approved by the Commission.



Dimitry Goin, Respondent

10/15/2025 Date



Margaret R. Hinkle, Chair

11/20/2025 Date